



University of Groningen

Mumford coverings of the projective line

Put, Marius van der; Voskuil, Harm H.

Published in:
Archiv der mathematik

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2003

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Put, M. V. D., & Voskuil, H. H. (2003). Mumford coverings of the projective line. Archiv der mathematik, 80(1), 98-105.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Mumford coverings of the projective line

By

MARIUS VAN DER PUT and HARM H. VOSKUIL

Abstract. A Mumford covering of the projective line over a complete non-archimedean valued field K is a Galois covering $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ such that X is a Mumford curve over K . The question which finite groups do occur as Galois group is answered in this paper. This result is extended to the case where \mathbf{P}_K^1 is replaced by any Mumford curve over K .

1. Introduction. The field K is supposed to be complete with respect to a non-archimedean valuation. In [4], D. Harbater has proved that any finite group G is the Galois group of a Galois covering $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$, where X is an absolutely irreducible, smooth, projective curve over K . We will exploit here the simplified proof given by Q. Liu [6].

We recall that a *Schottky group* (over K) is a free, finitely generated subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K)$ which acts discontinuously on the analytic space $\mathbf{P}_K^{1,an}$ associated to the projective line over K . The complement Ω of the compact set of the limit points of Γ is an open subspace of $\mathbf{P}_K^{1,an}$. The *Mumford curve* Y (over K) associated to Γ is defined as $Y := \Omega / \Gamma$. This curve is absolutely irreducible, smooth and projective over K . The genus of Y is equal to the number of free generators of Γ . The projective line over K will also be considered as a Mumford curve over K (corresponding to the trivial group $\Gamma = \{1\}$). For details on Mumford curves we refer to [3].

Let Y be a Mumford curve over K . A *Mumford covering of Y* is a (in general ramified) Galois covering $X \rightarrow Y$ such that X is a Mumford curve over K . The question, that we consider in this paper, is:

What are the Galois groups for Mumford coverings of \mathbf{P}_K^1 ?

Examples of Mumford coverings of \mathbf{P}_K^1 , unramified outside $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ and for a field K of characteristic 0, have been given by Y. André [1] and F. Kato [5]. The first author has studied this question in connection with p -adic orbifolds and p -adic hypergeometric differential equations. The second author has produced many examples in an attempt to classify all of them.

The answer to the above question (allowing any type of ramification) is the following:

Theorem 1.1. (a) Suppose that K has characteristic 0. Then any finite group is a Galois group of a Mumford covering of \mathbf{P}_K^1 .

(b) Suppose that K has characteristic $p > 0$. A finite group is a Galois group of a Mumford covering of \mathbf{P}_K^1 , if and only if this group is generated by its elements of order not divisible by p^2 .

We thank the referee for excellent suggestions which lead to a complete answer for the corresponding question where \mathbf{P}_K^1 is replaced by any Mumford curve Y over K . The results are:

Theorem 1.2. Let Y be a Mumford curve over K of genus g with $Y(K) \neq \emptyset$.

(a) If the characteristic of K is 0, then every finite group is the Galois group of a Mumford covering of Y .

(b) Suppose that the characteristic of K is $p > 0$. Let G be a finite group and denote by N the subgroup of G generated by the elements having order not divisible by p^2 . Then G is the Galois group of a Mumford covering $X \rightarrow Y$, if and only if the p -group G/N is generated by at most g elements.

We note that part (b) of Theorem 1.2 (and of 1.1) is somewhat surprising. The proofs of the theorems will be given in Sections 2–4. A Mumford covering of \mathbf{P}_K^1 corresponds to a finitely generated discontinuous subgroup Γ (in fact generated by elements of finite order) of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K)$ such that $\Omega/\Gamma \cong \mathbf{P}_K^1$. In Theorem 1.2 one has described the finite groups $G \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is a normal subgroup of finite index which is a Schottky group. A much more involved problem is to classify these groups Γ . This is the theme of the sequel [7] of the present paper.

2. Reduction of the problem to the cyclic case. A finite group G is called *realizable* if there exists a Mumford covering $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ with group G and such that the points of X above ∞ are unramified and rational over K .

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that the finite group G is generated by two subgroups G_1, G_2 which are realizable. Then G is realizable.

Proof. We follow rather closely Q. Liu's paper [6] and refer to this for more details. Let G_i , for $i = 1, 2$, be realized by $f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$. Let D_i be a large enough closed disk, say $D_i = \{z \in \mathbf{A}_K^1 \mid |z| \leq R\}$ with $R \in |K^*|$. Put $U = \{z \in \mathbf{P}_K^1 \mid R \leq |z| \leq \infty\}$. We require that the restriction of f_i to $f_i^{-1}U \rightarrow U$ is trivial covering, i.e., $f_i^{-1}U$ is the disjoint union of copies of U . One considers now a new projective line \mathbf{P}_K^1 and disjoint closed disks, say $D_1^* = \{z \in \mathbf{A}_K^1 \mid |z| \leq r_1\}$ and $D_2^* = \{z \in \mathbf{A}_K^1 \mid |z - 1| \leq r_2\}$, satisfying $r_1, r_2 \in |K^*|$ and $r_1, r_2 < 1$. Let C denote the affinoid subset of \mathbf{P}_K^1 given by the inequalities $|z| \geq r_1, |z - 1| \geq r_2$. Above each of the three affinoids D_1^*, D_2^*, C we will consider a (possibly ramified and in general not connected) affinoid covering $A_1 \rightarrow D_1^*, A_2 \rightarrow D_2^*, A \rightarrow C$ with G as Galois group. The first covering $A_1 \rightarrow D_1^*$ is obtained by considering an isomorphism

$D_1^* \rightarrow D_1$ and taking the pull back E_1 of the covering $f_1^{-1}(D_1) \rightarrow D_1$. Then $E_1 \rightarrow D_1^*$ is a connected Galois covering with group G_1 . The induced covering $\text{Ind}_{G_1}^G E_1 \rightarrow D_1^*$ is a Galois covering with group G . This is the covering $A_1 \rightarrow D_1^*$. The covering $A_2 \rightarrow D_2^*$ is constructed in the same way. The covering $A \rightarrow C$ is simply the trivial covering with group G . The three affinoid coverings are glued together in an G -invariant way to a rigid analytic Galois covering $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ with group G . This covering is connected since G is generated by G_1 and G_2 . By GAGA, one concludes that X has a unique structure of an irreducible smooth, projective algebraic curve over K . The points above ∞ in the covering f are unramified and K -rational since $A \rightarrow C$ is a trivial covering. A criterion for a curve Y to be a Mumford curve is that the analytification Y^{an} has a finite admissible covering by affinoid sets which are isomorphic to affinoid subsets of \mathbf{P}_K^1 . By assumption X_1, X_2 satisfy this property. Thus A_1 and A_2 are finite unions of affinoids which can be embedded in \mathbf{P}_K^1 . The same holds obviously for A . Thus X is a Mumford curve. \square

Clearly the lemma reduces the general problem to the case of cyclic groups of order a prime power, which we will study in the next section.

3. The existence of cyclic Mumford coverings. Let q be a power of a prime number. We have to produce a cyclic covering $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ such that X is a Mumford curve and the points above ∞ are unramified and K -rational. One has to distinguish several cases.

(a) Suppose that the field K contains a primitive q^{th} root of unity. The equation $y^q = x^{q-1}(x-1)$ provides such a covering.

(b) Suppose that q is not divisible by the characteristic of K and that ζ_q , the primitive q^{th} root of unity, is not present in K . One considers the extension $K \subset K' = K(\zeta_q)$ with a generator τ of the Galois group. Put $\tau\zeta_q = \zeta_q^m$, $k = \frac{m^s-1}{q}$ where $s = [K' : K]$ is the order of τ . The action of τ is extended to the field $K'(x)$ by putting $\tau(x) = x$. Further one considers the extension $K(x) \subset K'(x)[Y]/(Y^q - a)$ for a suitable element $a \in K'(x)$. This element should be such that it gives rise to a q -cyclic extension L of $K(x)$ which defines the required Mumford covering $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$.

Consider $b = \frac{1+\zeta_q x}{1+\zeta_q x + \pi}$, where $0 \neq \pi \in K$ is an element with small enough absolute value. One defines a by the formula

$$a = b^{m^{s-1}} \tau(b)^{m^{s-2}} \cdots \tau^{s-2}(b)^m \tau^{s-1}(b).$$

The automorphism τ of $K'(x)$ extends to an automorphism $\tilde{\tau}$ of $K'(x)(y) = K'(x)[Y]/(Y^q - a)$ by $\tilde{\tau}(y) = y^m b^{-k}$. Let σ denote the automorphism of $K'(x)(y)/K'(x)$ given by $\sigma(y) = \zeta_q y$. A straightforward calculation yields that σ and $\tilde{\tau}$ commute, that the Galois group of $K'(x)(y)$ is generated by σ and τ and that $L := K'(x)(y)^{(\tilde{\tau})}$ is a q -cyclic extension of $K(x)$. We are left with proving that the curve X corresponding to the field L is a Mumford curve. In proving this we may replace X by $X \otimes K''$, where K'' is the completion of the algebraic closure of K . We have to show that the equation $Y^q = a$ defines a Mumford covering of $\mathbf{P}_{K''}^1$. One observes that the pole and the zero of $b = \frac{1+\zeta_q x}{1+\zeta_q x + \pi}$ are very close

together. The same holds for a , i.e., the divisor of a has the form $\sum_{i=1}^d m_i([x_i] - [y_i])$, where x_i and y_i are very close together. For notational convenience we suppose that ∞ is not a pole or zero of a . For $i = 1, \dots, d$ one considers a small disk $D_i = \{z \mid |z - a_i| \leq \epsilon\}$ containing x_i, y_i . Let C be the affinoid subset of $\mathbf{P}_{K''}^1$ defined by the inequalities $|z - a_i| \geq \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. We note that ϵ can be made as small as required by changing the absolute value of π . The equation $Y^q = a$ above D_i is equivalent to $Y^q = (\frac{z-x_i}{z-y_i})^{m_i}$. The affinoid covering $E_i \rightarrow D_i$ defined by the latter equation is clearly an affinoid subset of $\mathbf{P}_{K''}^1$. The equation $Y^q = a$ above the affinoid C gives a trivial covering since the function a on C has the form $c \cdot (1+r)$, with $c \in (K'')^*$ and where the norm of r is very small (depending again on the absolute values of π). Thus X satisfies the criterion for a Mumford curve, used in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

(c) Suppose that K has characteristic $p > 0$. The equation $y^p - y = x$ defines a p -cyclic Mumford covering of \mathbf{P}_K^1 .

Conclusions. The above proves part (a) of Theorem 1.1 and the implication “if” of part (b) of that theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2, part (a). Let Y and the finite group G be given. One chooses an affinoid subset D in Y , such that there exists an isomorphism $\phi : \{z \in \mathbf{P}_K^1 \mid |z| \leq 1\} \rightarrow D$. Put $D^o = \phi(\{z \in \mathbf{P}_K^1 \mid |z| < 1\})$ and $\partial D = \phi(\{z \in \mathbf{P}_K^1 \mid |z| = 1\})$. Then $\{Y \setminus D^o, D\}$ is an admissible (affinoid) covering of Y . By 1.1, there exists a connected Galois covering $U \rightarrow D$ with group G which has the properties:

- (i) U is embedded in a Mumford covering of \mathbf{P}_K^1 with group G .
- (ii) The induced covering above ∂D is trivial.

Now one glues this covering $U \rightarrow D$ to the trivial covering of $Y \setminus D^o$ (with group G). The result is the required Mumford covering of Y with group G .

Remarks. The “only if” implication of Theorem 1.1, part (b) would follow from the statement that for any Galois covering $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ (with X absolutely irreducible, smooth, projective over K) with group $\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ and $n \geq 2$ (or $n = 2$), the curve X is *not* a Mumford curve. Using Witt vectors one can describe these cyclic coverings explicitly and derive that X is indeed not a Mumford curve. The referee remarks that any X , thus obtained, has a reduction with rational curves over the residue field of K as irreducible components. However this reduction has bad singularities which prevents X from being a Mumford curve.

In the next section, we will present a more *conceptional proof*, using the uniformization of a Mumford curve, of the statement that $\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ does not occur as Galois group of a Mumford covering of \mathbf{P}_K^1 for $n \geq 2$.

4. Coverings in positive characteristic. We consider the situation: K has characteristic $p > 0$, $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ a Galois covering with group G and X a Mumford curve. Let N denote the subgroup of G generated by its elements of order not divisible by p^2 . The proof

of Theorem 1.1 is complete when we have shown that $N = G$. In the sequel we may enlarge the field K . For convenience we will suppose that K is algebraically closed.

Proof of the “only if” part of Theorem 1.1 (b). Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow X$ denote the universal rigid analytic covering of X . According to [3], Ω is isomorphic to the complement in \mathbf{P}_K^1 of some compact subset. Consider a point $x_0 \in X$ which is ramified over \mathbf{P}_K^1 and a point $\omega \in \Omega$ with $u(\omega) = x_0$. The point ω can be identified with $\infty \in \mathbf{P}_K^1$. Let $\text{St}_{x_0} \subset G$ denote the stabilizer of x_0 . Any $\sigma \in \text{St}_{x_0}$ can uniquely be lifted to an automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of Ω such that $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega) = \omega$. The map $\sigma \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}$ is therefore a group homomorphism and St_{x_0} can be identified with a subgroup of the automorphisms of Ω having ω as fixed point. According to [2] or [3] the automorphisms of Ω extend to automorphisms of \mathbf{P}_K^1 . This implies that St_{x_0} can be identified with a subgroup of the Borel group of $\text{PGL}(2, K)$. In particular, St_{x_0} does not contain elements of order p^2 . Thus $\text{St}_{x_0} \subset N$.

The group N is a normal subgroup of G . The covering $X/N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ with group G/N is unramified since N contains all the ramification groups of $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$. We conclude that the covering $X/N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^1$ is trivial and that $G = N$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of the “only if” part of Theorem 1.2 (b). The field K has characteristic $p > 0$ and, for convenience, is supposed to be algebraically closed, Y is a Mumford curve over K , $X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois covering with group G , X is a Mumford curve and N is the subgroup of G generated by the elements whose orders are not divisible by p^2 .

As above we conclude that the covering $X/N \rightarrow Y$ is unramified. By definition the group G/N is a p -group. The “only if” part of 1.2 (b), follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Y be a Mumford curve over K of genus g . The uniformization of Y is denoted by Ω/Γ , where Γ is a free group on g generators. Let $Z \rightarrow Y$ be a Galois étale covering (with irreducible Z) and with a Galois group G which is a p -group. Then this étale covering is a rigid analytic covering, i.e., there is a surjective homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ with kernel Γ_0 such that the given covering is isomorphic to the covering $\Omega/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma = Y$.*

Proof. We consider first the case where G is a p -cyclic group. The p -cyclic étale coverings of Y correspond to the elements of order p of the Jacobian variety J of Y . This group $J[p]$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^r$ with $0 \leq r \leq g$. Since Y is a Mumford curve, the Jacobian variety has multiplicative reduction and $r = g$. Thus the p -cyclic étale extensions correspond to p -cyclic subgroups of $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^g$. On the other hand the p -cyclic rigid analytic coverings of Y are given by $\Omega/\Gamma' \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma$, where Γ' is the kernel of a surjective homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. We conclude that any p -cyclic étale covering is a rigid analytic covering and that the corresponding curve Z is again a Mumford curve.

Now we consider the general situation. Since G is a p -group, there exists a normal subgroup $H \subset G$ such that G/H is a p -cyclic group. The covering $Z \rightarrow Y$ decomposes as $Z \rightarrow Z/H \rightarrow Y$. We have shown that the p -cyclic covering $Z/H \rightarrow Y$ is a rigid analytic covering and that Z/H is again a Mumford curve. By induction on the order of the group we may suppose that $Z \rightarrow Z/H$ is also a rigid analytic covering. It follows that $Z \rightarrow Y$ is a rigid analytic covering. As is well known a rigid analytic covering is given by surjective homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ having some kernel Γ_0 . The covering is then isomorphic to the covering $\Omega/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma$. \square

The proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.2 (b). In general G is not a semi-direct product of N and G/N . One chooses a subgroup W of G generated by at most g elements which maps surjectively to G/N . Consider the semi-direct product $G' = N \rtimes W$, where the multiplication is given by $(n_1, w_1) \cdot (n_2, w_2) = (n_1 w_1 n_2 w_1^{-1}, w_1 w_2)$. There is an obvious surjective morphism $G' \rightarrow G$. According to the next lemma, it suffices to produce a Mumford covering of Y with group G' .

Lemma 4.2. *Let Z be a Mumford curve over K . Let H be a finite group of automorphisms of Z . Then Z/H is Mumford curve over K .*

Proof. Let g be the genus of Z . The case $g \leq 1$ is trivial and we suppose now $g \geq 2$. Write $Z = \Omega/\Gamma$ with $\Omega \subset \mathbf{P}_K^{1,an}$. Since $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PGL}(2, K)$, the set of the limit points \mathcal{L} of Γ is a compact perfect subset of $\mathbf{P}_K^1(K)$. Let $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K)$ consisting of all the lifts of all elements of H . Then Γ is a normal subgroup of Δ and $\Delta/\Gamma = H$. It follows that Δ is also a discontinuous subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K)$ and has again \mathcal{L} as its set of limit points.

We recall the standard procedure which associates to \mathcal{L} a tree and an admissible affinoid covering of Ω . One identifies \mathbf{P}_K^1 with $\mathbf{P}_K(V)$ where V is a 2-dimensional vector space over K . Further K^o denotes the valuation ring of K . A lattice $M \subset V$ is a free K^o -submodule of V of rank two. Two lattices M_1 and M_2 are called equivalent if $M_1 = \lambda M_2$ for some element $\lambda \in K^*$. Let $[M]$ denote the equivalence class of the lattice M . Any three distinct lines Kv_1, Kv_2, Kv_3 in V determine a unique lattice class $[M]$, with M generated over K^o by $\lambda_1 v_1, \lambda_2 v_2, \lambda_3 v_3$, where $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \lambda_3 v_3 = 0$ is a non-trivial linear relation. In particular, for every triple (a_0, a_1, a_∞) of distinct points of \mathcal{L} , one has an associated lattice class $[M]$. These lattice classes are the vertices of a graph \mathcal{T} . Consider a pair $\{[M_1], [M_2]\}$ of distinct vertices, where the representatives M_1, M_2 are chosen such that $M_1 \supset M_2$ and $M_1/M_2 = K^o/\pi K^o$ for some π with $0 < |\pi| < 1$. This pair is an edge of \mathcal{T} if there is no vertex $[M]$ such that $M_1 \supset M \supset M_2$ and $M \neq M_1, M_2$. It turns out that \mathcal{T} is a tree. Since \mathcal{L} is Δ -invariant there is an action of Δ on the tree \mathcal{T} . The stabilizers of Δ on this tree are finite because Δ is a discontinuous group. One can associate to any vertex v and every edge e of \mathcal{T} , affinoid subsets $U(v)$ and $U(e)$ of Ω . For a vertex v , corresponding to a triple (a_0, a_1, a_∞) , one takes an identification $\mathbf{P}_K(V)$ with \mathbf{P}_K^1 such that a_0, a_1, a_∞ are mapped to $0, 1, \infty$. Let \bar{K} denote the residue field of K . The obvious reduction map $\mathrm{Red} : \mathbf{P}_K^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\bar{K}}^1$ maps \mathcal{L} to a finite subset F of $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{K}}^1(\bar{K})$. The preimage, under Red of $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{K}}^1 \setminus F$, is by definition the affinoid subset $U(v)$ of Ω . The set $U(e)$, with $e = \{[M_1], [M_2]\}$, is defined in a similar way, using the two reductions maps corresponding to the two triples of elements of \mathcal{L} . The covering $\{U_i\} := \{U(v), U(e)\}$ is an admissible affinoid covering of Ω . The sets U_i have the properties:

- (a) $\Delta_i := \{\delta \in \Delta \mid \delta U_i \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.
- (b) If $\Delta_i \neq \{1\}$, then Δ_i is a subgroup of Δ and $\delta U_i = U_i$ for each $\delta \in \Delta_i$.

Clearly $\Omega/\Delta = Z/H$ and Z/H is obtained by glueing finitely many affinoid sets of the form $V_i := U_i/\Delta_i$. Each of those sets lies in $\mathbf{P}_K^1/\Delta_i \cong \mathbf{P}_K^1$. Therefore Z/H is a Mumford curve over K . \square

The group G' is a semi direct product $N \rtimes W$ and the curve Y has the form Ω/Γ where Γ is a free group on g generators. There is a surjective homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow W$, since the group W is generated by at most g elements. The kernel Γ_0 of this homomorphism gives rise to an analytic étale Galois covering $f: Z := \Omega/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow Y$ with Galois group W . The preimage under f of a small enough closed disk of Y is the disjoint union of isomorphic closed disks $\{D_w | w \in W\}$. The notation is taken such that $w_1 D_{w_2} = D_{w_1 w_2}$ for all $w_1, w_2 \in W$. One may identify D_1 with $\{z | |z| \leq 1\}$ and define the “boundary” ∂D_1 as the part corresponding to $\{z | |z| = 1\}$. The interior D_1^o is the part of D_1 corresponding to $\{z | |z| < 1\}$. The boundaries ∂D_w and interiors D_w^o are defined by $\partial D_w = w \partial D_1$ and $D_w^o = w D_1^o$.

Using Theorem 1.1 one finds a Galois covering $X_1 \rightarrow D_1$ with group N , such that X_1 is a connected affinoid subset of a Mumford curve and such that the induced covering above ∂D_1 , which we will denote by $\partial X_1 \rightarrow \partial D_1$, is trivial. For any $w \in W$, the covering $X_w \rightarrow D_w$ is obtained from $X_1 \rightarrow D_1$ by a push forward via the isomorphism $w: D_1 \rightarrow D_w$. The obvious isomorphism $X_1 \rightarrow X_w$ is also called w . There is an induced action of N on the covering $X_w \rightarrow D_w$, which is given explicitly by $n(e_w) = w((w^{-1}nw)e_1)$, with $n \in N$, $e_w \in X_w$ and $e_1 \in X_1$ such that $e_w = w(e_1)$. The group $G' = N \rtimes W$ acts on $\bigcup_{w \in W} X_w$ by

the formula $n_1 w_1(e_w) = w_1 w((w_1 w)^{-1} n_1 (w_1 w)e_1)$, where $e_w = w e_1$ with $e_1 \in X_1$ and $n_1 \in N$, $w, w_1 \in W$.

Let Z_0 denote $Z \setminus (\bigcup_{w \in W} D_w^o)$. This is an affinoid subset of Z . Moreover $\{Z_0, \{D_w\}_{w \in W}\}$ is an admissible affinoid covering of Z . The only non-empty intersections for this covering are $Z_0 \cap D_w = \partial D_w$ for $w \in W$. Above Z_0 we consider the trivial covering $X_0 \rightarrow Z_0$ with Galois group N . Thus X_0 can be identified with $N \times Z_0$. The action of $G' = N \rtimes W$ on X_0 is defined by the formula $n_1 w_1(n, z) = (n_1 w_1 n w_1^{-1}, w_1 z)$ with $w, w_1 \in W$, $z \in Z_0$ and $n_1 \in N$. The “boundary” ∂Z_0 of Z_0 is defined as the union $\bigcup_{w \in W} \partial D_w$. The “boundary” ∂X_0 of X_0 is the preimage of ∂Z_0 under $X_0 \rightarrow Z_0$. In the construction of the curve X the affinoid space X_0 is glued to the affinoid space $\bigcup_{w \in W} X_w$ (this is the disjoint union of the X_w)

by an obvious G' -equivariant isomorphism between their boundaries ∂X_0 and $\bigcup_{w \in W} \partial X_w$.

By doing so, one first obtains an analytic space X which is a finite (ramified) covering of Z . By GAGA, X has the structure of a non-singular projective curve over K and $X \rightarrow Z$ is a finite morphism of curves. Using that each X_w and Z_0 is connected and by tracing the glueing, one finds that X is a connected curve over K . The action of G' on each X_w and X_0 induces an action of G' on X . The result is a Galois covering $X \rightarrow Y$ with group G' such that X is a Mumford curve.

References

- [1] Y. ANDRÉ, p -adic orbifolds and p -adic triangle groups. In: of the conference “rigid geometry and group action” Kyoto, December RIMS Kyoto proceedings Proceedings No. **1073**, 136–159 (1998).
- [2] J. FRESNEL and M. VAN DER PUT, Géométrie Analytique Rigide et Applications. Prog. Math. **18**, Boston 1981.

- [3] L. GERRITZEN and M. VAN DER PUT, Schottky groups and Mumford curves. LNM **817**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1980.
- [4] D. HARBATER, Galois coverings of the Arithmetic Line. Lecture Notes Math. **1240**, 165–195 (1987).
- [5] F. KATO, p -adic Schwarzian triangle groups of Mumford type Max-Planck-Institute für Mathematik. Preprint Series (90) (1999).
- [6] Q. LIU, Tout groupe fini est un groupe de Galois sur $\mathbf{Q}_p(T)$. In Recent developments in the inverse Galois problem (Seattle, WA, 1993), Contemp. Math. **186**, 261–265 (1995).
- [7] M. VAN DER PUT and H.H. VOSKUIL, Discontinuous subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$. Preprint, University of Groningen 2001.
- [8] J. P. SERRE, Trees. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1980.

Received: 11 April 2001

Marius van der Put and Harm H. Voskuil
Department of Mathematics
University of Groningen
P.O.Box 800
9700 AV Groningen
The Netherlands
mvdput@math.rug.nl
harm.voskuil@nl.abnamro.com